\$400

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTOPHER DONAGHY,	: Civil No.	0158
Plaintiff,	:	
POLICE OFFICER EDWARD TAYLOR (Badge #7623)	: :	
-and-	:	
POLICE OFFICER BRIAN JOHNSON (Badge #2575)	:	
-and-	:	
POLICE OFFICER DEON TAYLOR (Badge #9490)	:	
-and-	:	
THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,	:	

Defendants.:

#### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, Christopher Donaghy, by and through his undersigned counsel, the O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C., hereby demands a trial by jury and complains against the above-captioned Defendants as follows:

#### Statement of Claim and Jurisdiction

1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution against Defendant Police Officers, in their individual capacities, and against the City of Philadelphia. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

- 2. It is alleged that the individual Defendant Police Officers made an unreasonable seizure of the person of Plaintiff constituting unlawful arrest and imprisonment violating Plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment were violated further by a violent, unnecessary, unjustified, and excessive use of force on Plaintiff.
- 3. It is further alleged that these Constitutional violations were committed as a result of policies, customs, omissions, and deliberate indifference of Defendant the City of Philadelphia.

#### **Parties**

- 4. Christopher Donaghy (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident of Philadelphia County and a citizen of the United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant Police Officers, Edward Taylor, Brian Johnson, and Deon Taylor (hereinafter "Defendant Police Officers" or "Defendant Officers"), were at all times relevant to this Complaint duly appointed and acting as police officers, acting under color of law, to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs, and usages of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and/or Defendant the City of Philadelphia.
  - 6. Defendant the City of Philadelphia is a municipal corporation.

#### **Facts**

- 7. On January 18, 2014, Plaintiff was smoking a cigarette outside a nightclub in the area of 3200 North Lee Street in Philadelphia.
- 8. Plaintiff was approached by several males one of whom may have been in possession of a firearm and Plaintiff's cellphone was robbed. The males fled and Defendant Officers responded because of the firearms allegation.

- 9. Upon responding, Defendant Police Officers handcuffed Plaintiff for no reason, thereby seizing Plaintiff and depriving Plaintiff of his liberty. Defendant Officers, primarily Defendant Edward Taylor, repeatedly punched Plaintiff in the face causing substantial injury to Plaintiff's face and head.
- 10. Plaintiff was then arrested for no reason and accused falsely of trying to get Defendant Taylor's firearm despite the fact that Plaintiff was in handcuffs and being repeatedly punched in the face. Plaintiff did not use any force against Defendant Officers and did not reach for any Defendant Officer firearm. Plaintiff did not pose any threat to Defendant Officers.
- 11. Plaintiff was transported to Temple University Hospital, Episcopal Campus, and eventually to Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility. Plaintiff suffered serious injuries to his face and head causing fractures thereto.
- 12. Based on the false accusations and false paperwork of Defendant Officers, Plaintiff was charged with, *inter alia*, Aggravated Assault and Disarming Law Enforcement.
- 13. Plaintiff was held in Philadelphia prison custody for over a month until he posted bail on or about February 20, 2014.
- 14. Based upon false police paperwork and in-court lies of Defendant Officer(s), Plaintiff has been and is being prosecuted as of docket numbers MC-51-CR-0002053-2014 and CP-51-CR-0002749-2014.
- 15. At all times during the events described above, Defendant Police Officers were engaged in a joint venture. The individual Defendant Police Officers assisted each other in performing the various actions described and lent their physical presence and support and the authority of their office to each other during the said events.

- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the said acts of Defendant Police Officers, Plaintiff suffered the following injuries and damages:
- a. Violation of his Constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
  - b. Loss of physical liberty resulting from unlawful arrest and imprisonment;
  - c. Emotional injury;
  - d. Bodily injury;
- 17. The actions violated the following clearly established and well-settled federal constitutional rights of Plaintiff:
  - a. Freedom from unreasonable seizure and unlawful arrest and imprisonment;
  - b. Freedom from excessive and unjustified use of force under color of state law;
- 18. Defendant the City of Philadelphia by its policies, acts, omissions, lack of supervision, and willful indifference, allows the perpetuation of the present actions complained of herein despite civil Complaints against said Defendant and the policing apparatus thereof including Defendant Police Officers. This has been caused by, *inter alia*, inadequate supervision, inadequate investigation of police complaints, and contractual negotiations with unions that lead to inadequate censure of violent and uncontrolled law enforcement officers, including Defendant Police Officers, and often leads to reinstatement following serious meritorious complaints. Excessive use of force perpetuated by the City of Philadelphia Police Department is to such a degree that same has had to seek advice from the Department of Justice and is caused by, *inter alia*, a toothless and compromised Internal Affairs Division that in no way properly investigates or censures Philadelphia police officers, such as Defendant Officers, for engaging in repeated instances of the allegations contained herein.

#### **Causes of Action**

#### COUNT ONE: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – FOURTH AMENDMENT AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT ILLEGAL SEZIURE AND UNLAWFUL ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 19. Paragraphs 1 through 18 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 20. Plaintiff claims damages for the injuries set forth above under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against all Defendants for infringing upon his Fourth Amendment rights, as incorporated via the Fourteenth Amendment, to be free from unreasonable and illegal seizure of his person and unlawful arrest and imprisonment.
- 21. Plaintiff was illegally seized by Defendant Police Officers, acting in concert, when he was improperly handcuffed and repeatedly punched in the face when handcuffed. Plaintiff was arrested for no reason and was then imprisoned based upon the false accusations and statements of Defendant Officers.
- 22. Each Defendant Police Officer had personal involvement in the infringement of Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights and each officer was acting under color of state law.
- 23. Defendant the City of Philadelphia's acts and omissions have allowed for extensive police violation of Fourth Amendment rights whereby the policing apparatuses of Defendant the City of Philadelphia harass, seize, and search innocent citizens of Pennsylvania for no meaningful legal reason. Defendant the City of Philadelphia as evidenced by Fourth Amendment civil Complaints filed against it as well as the present lack of observance of the Law, perpetuates a policy and/or system of lack of oversight that allows the policing apparatus of Defendant the City of Philadelphia to engage in willful Fourth Amendment violations. This lack

of oversight includes an Internal Affairs Division that rarely censures Defendant the City of Philadelphia police officers.

24. Accordingly, Plaintiff should be compensated for the violation of his Fourth Amendment rights to be free from unlawful and unreasonable seizure, arrest, and imprisonment.

#### COUNT TWO: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – FOURTH AMENDMENT AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 26. Defendant Police Officers, acting in concert and under color of state law, attacked Plaintiff for no reason causing injuries to Plaintiff's face and head. Defendant Officers were armed, Plaintiff was unarmed and not a criminal suspect and did not threaten officers in any way, and Plaintiff was handcuffed when he was repeatedly punched in the face and head by Defendant Officers causing fractures to Plaintiff's face.
- 27. Plaintiff was subjected to excessive force and injury which necessitated hospital treatment. There is no justification for the force used by Defendant Police Officers against Plaintiff.
- 28. Defendant the City of Philadelphia's acts and omissions have allowed for extensive police violation of Fourth Amendment rights whereby the policing apparatuses of Defendant the City of Philadelphia employ excessive force upon innocent citizens of Pennsylvania for no meaningful legal reason and have necessitated Department of Justice intervention. Defendant the City of Philadelphia, as evidenced by Fourth Amendment civil Complaints filed against it as well as the present lack of observance of the Law, perpetuate a policy and/or system of lack of oversight that allows their policing apparatus of Defendant the

City of Philadelphia to engage in willful Fourth Amendment violations. This lack of oversight

includes an Internal Division that rarely censures police officers even when there are serious and

meritorious complaints against them.

29. Plaintiff should, therefore, be compensated for the violation of his Fourth

Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment rights not to be subjected to excessive use of

government/police force.

**Prayer for Relief** 

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court:

a. Award compensatory and emotional injury damages to Plaintiff and against

Defendants;

b. Award costs of this action to Plaintiff;

c. Award reasonable attorneys' fees to Plaintiff;

d. Award punitive damages, where appropriate, to Plaintiff;

e. Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

The O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C.

STEPHEN T. O'HANLON, ESQUIRE

DATE: January 14, 2016

#### **Demand for Jury Trial**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

The O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C.

STEPHEN T. O'HANLON, ESQUIRE

DATE: January 14, 2016

The O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C. BY: Stephen T. O'Hanlon, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff PA Bar ID # 208428 2 Penn Center, Suite 1850 1500 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel: (267) 546-9066

Fax: (215) 567-1998

steve@ohanlonlawfirm.com

### Case 2:16-cv-00158-LDD Document 1 Filed 01/14/16 Page 9 of 11

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

16-Q-158

The JS 44 civil cover sheet a contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local reles of core. The form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for

**DEFENDANTS** 

CHRISTOPHER DONAG	HY			LOR, BRIAN JOHNSON, D	EON TAYLOR, THE CIT	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA			OF PHILADEL	ence of First Listed Defendant		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (PHILADELPHIA)  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (	ONLY)	
			NOTE: IN LAN THE TR	ID CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T LACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number		Attorneys (If Kno	он'п)		
Stephen T. O'Hanlon, 2 F Philadelphia, PA 19102.		K Blvd., Suite 1850,	LAW DEPART	MENT FOR THE CITY OF F	PHILADELPHIA.	
II. BASIS OF JURISDA	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		F PRINCIPAL PARTIES		
1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question  ■ 4 Federal Question  ■ 4 Federal Question  ■ 5 Federal Ques		(For Diversity Cases O	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 1 Incorporated or P	rincipal Place 🗆 4 🗆 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENAL	TY BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY			☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC  690 Other		400 State Reapportionment     410 Antitrust     430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud	TY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	Relations  740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical	D 000 1001 (100(g))	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	on	Act  896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	S 791 Employee Retiremen	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	A43 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General		26 USC 7609	State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION  462 Naturalization Applie	cation		
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe	er 3 465 Other Immigration	cauon		
	Other  448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Actions			
ſ	L TI Lawrence	560 Civil Detainee -		1		
(		Conditions of Confinement				
N. ORIGIN (Place an "X" II	n One Box Only)					
1 Original 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopened A:	ransferred from 6 Multidist nother District Litigation		
		tute under which you ar	e filing (Do not cite jurisdiction			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 42 USC 1983.  Brief description of ca	nica:				
	Unlawful arrest a	nd imprisonment an	d excessive force.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 700,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	JAN 1 201	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY OF RECORD			
01/14/2016					(S'.T.)	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDO	GE MAG. J.	JDGE	

# Case 2:16-cv-00158-LDD Document 1 Filed 01/14/16 Page 10 of 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Plaintiff:	0/0	O'HAN LOW	LAW FIRM
ddress of Defendant:	010	LAN DOT	PAKTABIT
lace of Accident, Incider	nt or Transaction:	Philadelphia	, M.
		(Use Reve	erse Side For Additional Space)
			at corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of th	e Disclosure Stateme	ent Form in accordance with Fed.R	R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No
oes this case involve mu	ıltidistrict litigation p	ossibilities?	Yes No
ELATED CASE, IF ANY		T 1	Date Terminated:
ase Number:		Juage	Date Terminated:
ivil cases are deemed re	lated when yes is ans	wered to any of the following ques	stions:
. Is this case related to p	roperty included in a	n earlier numbered suit pending or	or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
			Yes□ No W
Does this case involve action in this court?	the same issue of fac	t or grow out of the same transacti	tion as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
activit in allo court			Yes□ No
Does this case involve	the validity or infring	gement of a patent already in suit of	or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in th	is court?		Yes□ No D
. Is this case a second or	r successive habeas c	orpus, social security appeal, or pr	ro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
			Yes□ No■
IVIL: (Place 🗸 in ON	VE CATECORY ON		
. Federal Question Ca.		JY)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
_		ontract, and All Other Contr	
. □ FELA	,		2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
.   Jones Act-Per	sonal Injury		3. □ Assault, Defamation
.   Antitrust			4.   Marine Personal Injury
. Ratent			<ol> <li>5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> </ol>
. D Labor-Manage	ement Relations		6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
. Civil Rights			7.   Products Liability
Habeas Corpu	IS		8.   Products Liability — Asbestos
ecurities Act	(s) Cases		9. □ All other Diversity Cases
0. D Social Securit	y Review Cases		(Please specify)
1. □ All other Fede	eral Question Cas	es	
(Please specify)			_
$\bigcirc$ a		, ARBITRATI	ION CERTIFICATION
STEPHEL	VT. D'	Check A counsel of record d	Appropriate Category)
Pursuant to Local C	Civil Rule 53.2, Section		mowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum
150,000,00 exclusive of			
Belief other than m	nonetary damages is s	ought.	
ATE: 1/14/	2016		208428
	NOTE.	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.# jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38,
	NOTE:	A trial de novo win de a trial by j	jury only it there has been comphance with F.K.C.P. 38.
	wledge, the within c	ase is not related to any case nor	w pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
xcept as noted above.	1.		7051-0
ATE: 1/14/	16		18424
		Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

JAN 1 2016

## L58-LDD Document 1 Filed 01/14/16 Page 11 of 11

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM					
CHRISTOPHER DONAGHY : CIVIL ACTION		L ACTION			
Officer Ewa	co TAYLOR, et d.	NO.	01.58		
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In t designation, that defenda the plaintiff and all other	a Case Management Track serve a copy on all defendanche event that a defendanch ant shall, with its first app	d Delay Reduction Plan of the Resignation Form in all civants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set does not agree with the planearance, submit to the clerk onent Track Designation Form the persigned.	il cases at the time of et forth on the reverse aintiff regarding said of court and serve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE	E FOLLOWING CASE	MANAGEMENT TRACKS	•		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Ca	ses brought under 28 U.S	C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )		
	ses requesting review of a denying plaintiff Social	a decision of the Secretary of Security Benefits.	Health ( )		
(c) Arbitration – Cases 1	required to be designated	for arbitration under Local C	ivil Rule 53.2. ( )		
(d) Asbestos – Cases inv exposure to asbestos		al injury or property damage	from ( )		
commonly referred t	to as complex and that new rise side of this form for a	into tracks (a) through (d) that ed special or intense manager detailed explanation of speci	nent by		
(f) Standard Manageme	ent – Cases that do not fal	l into any one of the other tra	cks.		

1/14/2016 STEPHEN T. O'HANGON Plainhiff DONALHY
ate Attorney-at-law Attorney for
267. 546. 9066 215. 567. 1998 Stevel ohan land law from. Gran

Telephone

**FAX Number** 

**E-Mail Address** 

(Civ. 660) 10/02